Standards & Ethics in Evaluation

Professor Helen Simons
University of Southampton

NESE, Trento, Italy
13th April, 2011
Structure

- Reflections on guidelines/principles/standards/quality
- Ethics - nature of
- Relational concept - people
- Situated practice - context
- Intertwined with politics – power
- Cultural awareness

© Helen Simons 2011
Some reflections on Principles/Guidelines/Standards
Definitions and Differences

- No universal usage of terms - guidelines, principles, standards, codes, norms
- One way of distinguishing is degree of specificity and purpose

  - **Guidelines** - suggestions to guide but not pre-empt ethical decision-making

  - **Principles** - general statements embodying ethical precepts to guide action - often normative & aspire to good practice

  - **Standards** - specific statements to which others should conform, often prescriptive and reflect model behaviour

  - **Norms** – agreement on principles and/or standards among particular groups
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Organizations producing standards/principles/guidelines

- The DAC Evaluation Network
- World Bank
- UNDP
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation
- United Kingdom Evaluation Society
- American Evaluation Association
- Australian Evaluation Society
- French Evaluation Society
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Organizations producing standards/principles/guidelines

- Serve slightly different purposes
- Focus on quality of product & audit of process,
- On methodology and outcomes

- Rather than on how the evaluation was conducted – in fair and just ways
- Or how the relationships (power & personal) affected the outcomes
Principles or Guidelines

- More open
- Allow more scope for interpretation
- For evaluators to demonstrate their intelligence and sensitivity in the field
- Responsive to cultural & socio-political settings
- Provide basis for participants, commissioners and evaluators to interact
- With overall aim of promoting good practice.
Major Purposes of Guidelines/Principles/Standards

- Promote good practice in evaluation
- Enhance status of evaluation as profession
- Protect evaluators, partic. & public interest
- Help build culture for ethical evaluation
- Educate members of professional societies
- Enhance management of evaluation
Drawbacks of Guidelines, Principles, Standards

- Standards can also detract from ‘good’ evaluation
  - Prescribing too tight a template – restrict initiatives
  - Presenting false hope that all can be met
  - Providing too many indicators - counsel of perfection
  - Can lead to invalid comparisons as no agreed universal standards
Different Messages in Standards

- Messages in the language
- Martial – **rallying principle** (e.g. raise the standard of revolt)
- **Judgment** – ‘weight or measure to which others conform or by which the accuracy or quality of others is judged’ (OED)
- **Double standards, standards bearer, gold standard.**
- **Degree of excellence** - does not come up to standard, standard of living
- **Specific agreed properties** of a group
Standards in Practice

- No international standards (Russon & Russon (2005))
- Important how established & whose values reflect
- Usefulness likely to be enhanced when culturally/context specific
- Need to distinguish between growth of quality standards in organizations and standards for professional evaluation practice
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Example of Guidelines from UKES

- ‘Guidelines for Good Practice in Evaluation’
- Grounded in practice
- Purpose is educational
- Provide frameworks for action
- In four sections – evaluators, commissioners, participants, self-evaluations
- Procedural – suggest what each of these groups should do
- Aim - promote dialogue & understanding to inform better evaluation
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Absence of ethical statements

- ‘word ethics is assiduously avoided’ in Joint Committee Standards (Newman & Brown, 1996)
- Similar lack in debate over AES Standards (Fraser, 2001a, 2001b)
- Possible reasons - different function
- Sets of standards often more about governance than ethics
- Ethical practice too difficult to embody in codes and standards
- No consensus over what constitutes ethical practice in relatively new profession of evaluation
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Ethics
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Purpose of Ethics

- To promote good behaviour in the field that respects people and does no harm

- To ensure social justice and equity in evaluation practice

- To appreciate and protect sensitivities of people in process of evaluation & reporting
Ethics

- Nature of ethics, different from governance
- Ethics is about how we behave (or should behave) as individuals and as part of society in interaction with others
- Fundamental precept ‘do no harm’
- Distinguishing ‘right’ from ‘wrong’ - may differ in different contexts
Ethics – a relational concept

- Ethics is a relational concept - about people
- At three levels
  - **Personal level** – e.g. values of integrity, respect,
  - **Community level** – e.g. equal respect; predictable relationships, consistent behaviour
  - **Professional level** – common principles, leave the site open for another evaluation
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Ethics - a situated practice

- Ethical principles are abstract – not always clear how to apply in specific contexts -
- Ethics is a situated practice in...
- Particular socio/political contexts
- Need to interpret principles in precise contexts
- Same principle can lead to different ethical decision.

© Helen Simons 2011
Ethics and Politics
Ethics and Politics

- Often gets embroiled in politics
- Clash between ‘right and right’ - often need to balance/trade off one principle against the other
- May have to make political decision to keep professional evaluation afloat
- Example – national evaluation, one stakeholder seeking data to settle political dispute, other stakeholder disagreeing. Both had a case.
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Ethical theories

- Different ethical theories to which we can appeal in making decisions
- Utilitarian – greatest good for the greatest number
- Ethics of consequences – utility and outcomes
- Relational ethics – focus on care for immediate relationships
- Duties and obligations – e.g. duty to tell truth may be revoked by higher duty to do no harm
- Rights theories – fairness and justice
- Virtue – character-based – integrity, responsibility
Ethical Decision-Making

Ethical principles, guidelines, codes, theories inform & guide behaviour but........

It is how you behave in the field that indicates whether you have acted ethically.

- ‘The balancing of such principles in concrete situations is the ultimate ethical act’.

(House 1993, p.168)
Cultural Awareness

- Principles general/abstract - need to be interpreted in specific cultural contexts
- What is valid consent may differ in different cultural contexts
- Rights and obligations may also differ
- Need to explore how cultural norms affect evaluation practice and reporting
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